



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,102 07/17/2003		Stephen S. Ing	2207/40419802	8437
23838	7590 09/07/2005		EXAMINER LE, VU	
KENYON &				
SUITE 700	EINW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		2613	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/621,102	ING ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vu Le	2613			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2005 . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 4-6,13-15 and 22-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-6,13-15 and 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 July 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant summarizes the present invention as followed (Remarks, p. 4).

"When a frame is ready to be supplied to a video compressor, a new target frame rate (TFR) is computed based on the processor usage. For example, processor usage may be determined by comparing the amount of time taken to compress the current video frame ("CurrentCompressTime") to the target frame period ("MaxTimePerFrame"). The target frame period is equal to the inverse of the target frame rate. If, for example, the CurrentCompressTime is greater than the MaxTimePerFrame by 20%, then it is assumed that the processor is limited in its ability to compress video data (i.e., the processor cannot compress frames fast enough for the current target frame rate). To compensate, the target frame rate is reset based on the CurrentCompressTime." However, the highlighted features are not particularly claimed. Independent claims 4, 13 and 22 merely recites "compressing video frame data using a processor, determining whether the processor is limited in its ability to compress video image data, and adjusting a target frame rate based on a current amount of time taken to compress the video image data." Nothing more than that. Applicant asserts the features as claimed are neither shown nor suggested by Chujoh. Applicant further asserts that the "delay time" in Chujoh pertains to the delay time permitted for the output encoded data from the buffer". Hence, Chujoh does not perform a determination whether the processor is limited in its ability to compress video image data.

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,102 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

Chujoh discloses (col. 3, line 35-42) "control means for setting the target number of bits of each frame by adjusting the number of bits determined by the transmission rate and a predetermined encoding frame rate so that a delay time when the output section outputs the stored encoded data at the predetermined transmission rate is within a predetermined limit delay time, and, on the basis of this target number of bits, controlling the number of encoded bits generated by the encoding section". From this segment, the amount of delay time controls how much encoded bits can be generated i.e. the encoder's ability to compress video image data. Whether the delay time is caused by the buffer is irrelevant. Hence, the determining and adjusting steps as claimed are met by Chujoh.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the internation application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English.

Art Unit: 2613

3. Claims 4-6 and 13-15 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chujoh et al., US 6,188,792 for the same reasons as stated in the last Office Action of record.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vu Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-7332. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-2600. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,102 Page 5

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

AU 2613

(571) 272-7332

Vu.Le@uspto.gov